## Ball-in-Tube Linearization Example

## Lab 5: Nonlinear Control for a Flexible Joint

## ECE 758: Control System Implementation Laboratory

## Abstract

One of the laboratory design challenges is the balls-in-tubes experiment. In it, there are four tubes that each have a ball riding in them that is pushed up and down the tube by thrust generated by a fan. Here, we generate a simple model of a ball in a tube and show how feedback linearization allows for the application of linear control (e.g., PID control).

First, under a lift-coefficient hypothesis, assume that the thrust is proportional to the square of the voltage applied to the motor. That is,

$$T = C v_{\rm in}^2 \tag{1}$$

where T is the thrust generated by a van driven by voltage  $v_{in}$ . So long as the output impedance of the amplifier generated  $v_{in}$  is sufficiently low, we can assume that electrical resistance effects are negligible.

Next, use an *overly simple* point-mass model for the ball, as shown in Figure 1.

	$\uparrow T = Cv_{in}^2$
$F = T - W = Cv_{in}^2 - mg$	↑ ↓ <sup>…</sup>
!!	a   (•)
F = ma	ΙΨ
''	$\bigvee W = mg$

Figure 1: Simple point-mass model of a ball. Thrust T drives ball of mass m (weight W) with upward acceleration a.

In this model, the ball of mass m is driven upward by thrust T and pulled downward by gravity with weight W = mg. So the net upward force on the ball is T - W, which is equal to ma by Newton's second law, where a is the magnitude of the ball's upward acceleration. Hence, the ball's motion is modeled by

$$\overbrace{ma}^{F} = \overbrace{Cv_{in}^{2}}^{T} - \overbrace{mg}^{W}, \qquad (2)$$

but  $a = \dot{v} = \ddot{x}$ , where x is the ball's relative position. Using position x as an output, Equation (2) is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v\\ \dot{v} = \frac{C}{m}v_{\rm in}^2 - g. \end{cases}$$
(3)

For simplicity, force  $v_{\rm in} \ge 0$  and use  $v_{\rm in} = \sqrt{u}$  where  $u \ge 0$ . Hence, Equation (3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v \\ \dot{v} = -g + \frac{C}{m}u \end{cases} \quad (i.e., \ \alpha(x, v) \triangleq -g \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(x, v) \triangleq \frac{C}{m} ) \tag{4}$$

This system is already in *normal form*. Hence, without any coordinate transformation, it is immediately clear that this second-order system has relative degree 2 when position x is used as an output. So the control

$$u = \frac{m}{C}(w+g) \qquad (\text{i.e., } u = \frac{w - \alpha(x,v)}{\beta(x,v)})$$

with  $w \geq -g$  renders Equation (4) into the double-integrator LTI system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v \\ \dot{v} = w. \end{cases}$$
(5)

The parameter g is known (9.8 m/s/s), the parameter m can be measured (e.g., with a scale), and the parameter C can be estimated from system data (e.g., by analyzing the acceleration of the ball when input u is constant). So the control

$$v_{\rm in} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{C}(w+g)}$$
 with  $w \ge -g$  (6)

linearizes the w-x system (and needs no *feedback* in this simple case). Of course, the point-mass and lift-coefficient approximations may be overly naïve for this system.

