## L9 - State Assignment and gate implementation

## States Assignment

ㅁ Rules for State Assignment
$\square$ Application of rule

- Gate Implementation
- Ref: text Unit 15.8


## Rules for State Assignment

- Situation: You have arrived at the reduced state table and no further state reduction can be made.
$\square$ Does it matter how you assign the binary encoding to the states - YES!!!
- But how to do it!!!


## Guidelines for State Assignment

- To try all equivalent state assignments, i.e., and exhaustive exploration of all possible state assignments. This is a $n-p$ complete problem.
- Do not panic!!! (where does this come from?)
- There are guidelines that help
- 1. States which have the same next state for a given input should be given adjacent assignments.
- 2. States which are the next states of the same state should be given adjacent assignments.
- And third
- 3. States which have the same output for a given input should be given adjacent assignments.


## The starting state

- Assign the starting state to the " 0 " square on an assignment map. (An assignment map looks much like a K-map for logic minimization.)

(a) State table


## Reason for assign "0"

口 Reasons for assigning " 0 " as the starting state:

- The clear input on Flip Flops can be used for initialization.
- The clear input can also be used on a reset.
- The alternative is error prone - using a combination of preset and clears to set a specific value can lead to implementation errors.
- A good practice even when using FPGAs.


## Guidlines

- Adjacency conditions from Guideline 1 and those from Guideline 2 that are required 2 or more times should be satisfied first.
- Example - Guideline 1 for the table S0, S2, S4, and S6 should be made adjacent as they all have S1 as the next state on a 0 input.

| $A B C$ |  | $X=0$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 000 | $S_{0}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | $S_{1}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 001 | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 111 | $S_{3}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 011 | $S_{4}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{6}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 101 | $S_{5}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 010 | $S_{6}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{6}$ | 0 | 1 |

(a) State table

- S3 and S5 should have adjacent assignment.
- S4 and S6 should have adjacent assignment.


## Using guidelines

$\square$ From the state table find the following groupings:

- 1. (S0,S1,S3,S5) (S3,S5)
(S4,S6) (S0,S2,S4,S6)
- 2. $(\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 2)(\mathrm{S} 2, \mathrm{~S} 3)(\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 4)$

| $A B C$ |  | $X=0$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | $S_{0}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | $S_{1}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 001 | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 111 | $S_{3}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 011 | $S_{4}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{6}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 101 | $S_{5}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 010 | $S_{6}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{6}$ | 0 | 1 |

(S2,S5)2x (S1,S6)2x

## Two possible ways

- Two possible ways of satisfying the guidelines are:
- 1. (S0,S1,S3,S5) (S3,S5) (S4,S6) (S0,S2,S4,S6)

2. ( $\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 2$ ) ( $\mathrm{S} 2, \mathrm{~S} 3$ ) ( $\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 4$ ) ( $\mathrm{S} 2, \mathrm{~S} 5$ )2x ( $\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 6$ )2x


(b) Assignment maps

## Next state maps

- Next state maps may help choose the better assignment.
- Look at the next state given current state and input and how this will simplify K-maps for logic.

\[

\]



(b) Assignment maps


Adjacent because $S_{0}, S_{2}, S_{4}$, and $S_{6} \quad$ Adjacent because $S_{3}$ and $S_{5}$
have adjacent assignments
have adjacent assignments
(a) Next-state maps for Figure 15-14

## Choose an assignment

$\square$ Choose an assignment and implement in gates. Using the left assignment map get the next state map below with encoding.

- Map the encoding to K-maps

| XA | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | $\begin{gathered} S 1 \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | X | $X$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} 2 \\ 001 \end{gathered}$ |
| 01 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S1 } \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S5 } \\ 101 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S2 } \\ 001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} 4 \\ 011 \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S1 } \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S5 } \\ 101 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S2 } \\ 001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S6 } \\ 010 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} 1 \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S3 } \\ 111 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} 2 \\ 001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S6 } \\ 010 \end{gathered}$ |


$\mathrm{DA}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$

$\mathrm{DB}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$


Next States

## Implement in gates

- Notes on implementation
- All F/F outputs are used
- 6 gates are needed for next state generation only 1 of which is 3 inputs.



## Another example

$\square$ Example 15-16 in text

- Use guidelines
- Next states (b,d) (c,f) (b,e) (a, c)
- Next state of a state (a,c)2x (d,f) (d,b) (b,f) (c,e)
- But is state table minimum?

|  | $X=0$ | 1 | $X=0$ | 1 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $a$ | $a$ | $c$ | 0 | 0 |
| $b$ | $d$ | $f$ | 0 | 1 |
| $c$ | $c$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 |
| $d$ | $d$ | $b$ | 0 | 1 |
| $e$ | $b$ | $f$ | 1 | 0 |
| $f$ | $c$ | $e$ | 1 | 0 |

(a)


## Assignment map

$\square$ State table is not minimum but will continue

- The two assignment maps are




## Transition table

## - Resulting in a transition table of and equations of

(Figure 15-17) from the transition table. The D flip-flop input equations can be read

|  |  | $Q_{1}{ }^{+} Q_{2}{ }^{+} Q_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| $Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}$ | $X=0$ | 1 | $X=0$ | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 000 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 011 | 010 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 000 | 100 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 011 | 111 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 010 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 000 | 101 | 1 |
| 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  | directly from these maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=Q_{1}^{+}=X^{\prime} Q_{1} Q_{2}^{\prime}+X Q_{1}^{\prime} \\
& D_{2}=Q_{2}^{+}=Q_{3} \\
& D_{3}=Q_{3}^{+}=X Q_{1}^{\prime} Q_{2}+X^{\prime} Q_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the output equation is

$$
Z=X Q_{2} Q_{3}+X^{\prime} Q_{2}^{\prime} Q_{3}+X Q_{2} Q_{3}^{\prime}
$$

The cost of realizing these equations is 10 gates and 26 gate inputs.

## Next state generation K-maps

## $\square$ The K-maps for next state generation are

| $X Q$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{2} Q_{3}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 01 | X | (1) | 0 | X |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 | X | X | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |





## Another example

## $\square$ From our previous work.



| NEXT STATE |  |  |  | OUTPUT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present State | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| S0 | S1 | S4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| S1 | S1 | S2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| S2 | S3 | S4 | 1 | 0 |  |
| S3 | S5 | S2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| S4 | S3 | S4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| S5 | S1 | S2 | 0 | 1 |  |

## Use guidlines

ㅁ Same next state

- (S0,S1,S5) (S2,S4) (S0,S2,S4) (S1,S3,S5)
- Next state pairs
- (S1,S4) (S1,S2)2x (S3,S4)2x (S2,S5)

| NEXT STATE | OUTPUT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present State | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{X = 0}$ | $\mathbf{X = 1}$ |
| S0 | S1 | S4 | 0 | 0 |
| S1 | S1 | S2 | 0 | 0 |
| S2 | S3 | S4 | 1 | 0 |
| S3 | S5 | S2 | 0 | 0 |
| S4 | S3 | S4 | 0 | 0 |
| S5 | S1 | S2 | 0 | 1 |

## The assignment map

ㅁ Choose S0 as the "0" state and then use guidelines
$\square$ A possible solution


## Next State Table

## $\square$ Enter the state assignment onto the table

 - Then generate K-maps and generate logic| Present State | Next State |  | Output |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC | $\underline{X}=0$ | $\underline{X}=1$ | $\underline{X}=0$ | $\underline{X}=1$ |
| S0 000 | S1 001 | S4 101 | 0 | 0 |
| S1 001 | S1 001 | S2 100 | 0 | 0 |
| S2 100 | S3 111 | S4 101 | 1 | 0 |
| S3 111 | S5 011 | S2 100 | 0 | 0 |
| S4 101 | S3 111 | S4 101 | 0 | 0 |
| S5 011 | S1 001 | S2 100 | 0 | 1 |

## The K maps

## - Generate the K maps

- Next State logic A (2 gates) B (1 gate) C (2 gates)





## K map for the output Z

- 3 gates for the output (2-3 input AND)
$\square$ (1 OR)
$\square$ Total logic count
- 3 D F/Fs
- 2 - 3 input AND gates
- 3-2 input AND gates
- 2-2 input OR gates

| ${ }^{\mathrm{XA}}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | X | X | X | X |

$\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{A C}^{\prime}+\mathrm{XA}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$

- 1 - 3 input OR gate


## Lecture summary

- Have seen several examples of implementation from the statement of the problem (specification) to implementation.

